UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,758	05/26/2006	Malcolm Bell	17178.010	8687
	7590 10/07/200 RINGTON & SUTCL		EXAM	IINER
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT 4 PARK PLAZA			DURAND, PAUL R	
SUITE 1600	A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92	2614-2558		3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/580,758	BELL, MALCOLM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PAUL R. DURAND	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	-· action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologod in accordance with the practice and in	x parte gaayle, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,7-16,21-25,34,35,39,44-46,48,51,53 and 54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-6,17-20,26-33,36-38,40-43,47,49,50,52 and 55 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/23/06, 9/12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/580,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 7-9, 15, 21, 22, 51 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bavak Beveillgingsgroep et al. (EP 0 852 279).

In claims 1, 15, 21, 22 and 51, Bavak discloses the invention as claimed including a packaging device comprising an output port (in the vicinity of member 15), supplying sheet objects 3, to be stacked in a container 2, a docking mechanism (generally 107), to receive the container, a drive mechanism 18, 19, 23 and 24, driving the sheet objects to the output port and into the container to be stacked therein, sealing device 12 and 13, to seal a closure member (part of the container itself) onto the container opening while held by the docking mechanism (see figures 1-4 and col. 8, line 43 – col. 10, line 48).

In claims 7-9 and 54, Bavak discloses the invention as claimed including electric heating means 12 and 13, main body 106, frame (generally 107), so that the a container can be mounted within the frame and input path located between frame and main body (see figure 4).

3. Claims 23-25, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by NCR International (EP 1 258 842).

In claims 23, 44 and 45, NCR discloses the invention as claimed including a container for packaging sheet objects comprised of an opening (no number, but generally the top of the carton) to receive the sheet objects 108, in the form of banknotes, a base (generally the bottom), sidewalls 102, extending towards the opening from the base, support rails (flaps) coupled to the side walls on opposite sides of the opening, closure member 104, sealed thereto over the opening so that the container cannot be reused for stacking sheet objects once opened (see figures 2a, 2b).

In claims 24 and 25, NCR discloses the invention as claimed including support rails with wings (the flaps) hinged on opposite sidewalls internally hinged to a lip (the rim of the sidewall) on opposed ones of said sidewalls for movement from a storage position exteriorly of the opening, to an operative position within the opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 34, 35, 39 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NCR.

NCR discloses the invention as claimed including container 102, which can be stacked inside itself with multiple containers. What NCR does not disclose is the material being comprised of a formed polymer and an RFID tag. However, the

examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art to manufacture a container from molded plastic and utilize an RFID tag for the purpose of manufacturing a low cost container, which can be identified by an end user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of MCR with a molded plastic container and RFID tag for the purpose of manufacturing a low cost container, which can be identified and tracked by an end user.

6. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bavak in view of Hofmann et al. (US 6,922,973).

Bavak discloses the invention as claimed except for the use of a printer. However, Hofmann teaches that it is old and well known in the art to provide a packaging machine, with printing means (not shown) which cane print information onto a closure member for the purpose of supplying information relevant to the bundle to an end user (see col. 2, line 62-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in eth art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of Bavak with the printing means as taught by Hofmann for the purpose of supplying information relevant to the bundle to an end user.

7. Claims 10-14 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bavak in view of Mori et al. (US 4,784,274).

In claim 10-12, Bavak discloses the invention as claimed except for a discriminator which can count and discriminate banknotes placed into it. However, Mori

Art Unit: 3721

teaches that it is old and well known in the art to provide a discriminator utilizing sensors for the purpose of counting and determining whether a counterfeit banknote is being inserted into the machine.

Moreover and in regard to claims 13, 14 and 53, Mori further teaches that it is old and well known in the art to provide to utilize a spring loaded platen 16, attached to a pivoted and hinged frame for the purpose of compacting currency placed into a machine (see figure 1 and col. 3, lines 10-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in eth art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of Bavak with the discrimination means and platen as taught by Mori for the purpose of consolidating and counting banknotes.

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bavak in view of NCR.

Bavak discloses the invention as claimed including a bag for receiving the contents. What Bavak does not disclose is a rigid container. However, NCR teaches that it is old and well known in the art to provide a container comprised of an opening (no number, but generally the top of the carton) to receive the sheet objects 108, in the form of banknotes, a base (generally the bottom), sidewalls 102, extending towards the opening from the base, support rails (flaps) coupled to the side walls on opposite sides of the opening, closure member 104, sealed thereto over the opening so that the container cannot be reused for stacking sheet objects once opened (see figures 2a, 2b).

Application/Control Number: 10/580,758 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in eth art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of Bavak with the rigid container means as taught by NCR for the purpose of securely holding banknotes.

9. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NCR in view of Hofmann et al. (US 6,922,973).

Bavak discloses the invention as claimed except for the use of a printer. However, Hofmann teaches that it is old and well known in the art to provide a packaging machine, with printing means (not shown) which cane print information onto a closure member for the purpose of supplying information relevant to the bundle to an end user (see col. 2, line 62-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of NCR with the printing means as taught by Hofmann for the purpose of supplying information relevant to the bundle to an end user.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4-6, 17-20, 26-33, 36-38, 40-43, 47, 49, 50, 52 and 53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,758 Page 7

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL R. DURAND whose telephone number is (571)272-4459. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PAUL R. DURAND/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721 October 8, 2008